

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative	s, FTO personnery.
(1) <u>MOHAMMAD ADHAMI</u> .	(3)
(2) <u>Lee Barrett</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: 10 November 2011.	
Type: 🛮 Telephonic 🔲 Video Conferenc	ce ant applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes	es 🖾 No.
Issues Discussed 1101 112 1102 1103 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue a	Others and detailed description of the discussion)
Claim(s) discussed: 8.	
Identification of prior art discussed: Tirosh (US App.	2003/0141093) Kimchi (US App. 2002/0120760).
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendment	agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a ts, arguments of any applied references etc)
removing the language dealing with a trigger based of	mitations pertaining to a request. An amendment to claims on congestion or a failure were also discussed. Agreement was e 112 rejection and amendments overcoming the prior art of
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant	icant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.
the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MF general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indi	e the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of PEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the lication of any other periment matters discussed regarding patentability and the on as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.
☐ Attachment	
/Mohammad S Adhami/ Examiner, Art Unit 2471	